

# UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tracemark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED I	NVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/544,084	04/06/00	SAEBO		А	CONLINCO-042
_			コ	EXAMINER	
J MITCHELL J	IONES	HM12/0227		WANG,S	
MEDLEN & CARROLL LLP				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
220 MONTGOME SUITE 2200	ERY STREET			1617	•
SAN FRANCICS	30 CA 94104			DATE MAILED:	02/27/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	09/544,084	SAEBO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Shengjun Wang	1617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresponding address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wo - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	nely filed  will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.  (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. ≸ 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  **Continue the detailed Office and international applications and the statement of the certified application and the statement of the statement o							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
Attachment(s)							
15) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  16) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  17) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	19) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 5, 7-12, 17 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claims 5, 11, 17 and 23 recite the limitation "said antioxidant" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.
- 4. Claim 7 is directed to a method for producing a food product containing conjugated linoleic acid ester, however in the step a, only linoleic acid was provided. The claim is indefinite as to how to provide (or produce) the said ester.

#### **Double Patenting Rejections**

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 9-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,015,833 in view of Cook

Art Unit: 1617

et al. (U.S. 5,760,082). Patent '833 claim food product containing conjugated linoleic acid. The Patent does not claim food product contains the derivatives of conjugated linoleic acid, e.g., ester, or the employment of alcohols or vitamin E in the food products. However, Cook teach that the derivative of conjugated linoleic acid, including esters, are similarly useful as the free acid in food products. See, column2, lines 62-67. It is well known that alcohols or vitamin E are frequently added to food products. Therefore, it would have been obvious for an ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to making food product containing conjugated linoleic acid derivatives, including ester, alcohols or vitamin E.

## Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. §103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cook et al. (U.S. Patent 5,760,082) in view of Lievense et al. (U.S. patent 6,159,525) and Baltes et al. (U.S. Patent 3,162,658).
- 9. Cook teaches a food product containing conjugated linoleic acids, their esters, salts or mixtures. The linoleic acid compounds may be from corn oil, safflower etc. the food products may further containing vitamins. See, particularly, the abstract, column 1, lines 10-13, lines 49-60. Column 2, lines 51-67, Examples 3 and 5. Cook further teaches that conjugated linoleic acid may be incorporated n to various food products. See column 5, lines 6-14.

Application/Control Number: 09/544,084

Art Unit: 1617

- 10. Cook does not teach expressly to employ vitamin E or alcohols in the food products or the conjugated linoleic acid compounds are produced by the method herein, e.g., treating linoleic acid with potassium methylate.
- However, Lievense et al. teach that Vitamin E is known to be useful in food product 11. containing conjugated linoleic acid compounds, e.g., conjugated linoleic acid ester. See, particularly, column 4, lines 21-40, column 5, line 5 and the claims. Baltes teach that isomerization of linoleic acid compounds to conjugated linoleic acid compounds by alcoholate catalysts, such as potassium methylate is well known. See, particularly, the examples 2-4 and the claims.

Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed the invention was made, to incorporate conjugated linoleic acid derivatives, including esters, as well as vitamin E or alcohol in a food product.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to incorporate conjugated linoleic acid derivatives, including esters, as well as vitamin E or alcohol in a food product because vitamins, particularly, vitamin E, are known to be useful along with conjugated linoleic acid compounds in food products. Further, alcohols are well known to be frequently added to food products. The employment of alcohol herein is seen to employment of a known food ingredient to a food product and therefore is obvious. Regarding the limitation about the method to obtain the conjugated linoleic acid, note a method of making a compound is not seen to render patentable weight to a composition containing the said compound. It is particularly truth if the method is a well-known method. A process of making a composition by simply combining or mixing the known ingredients is seen to be within the skill of the artisan.

Application/Control Number: 09/544,084

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-

4554. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minna Moezie, J.D., can be reached on (703) 308-4612. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

5.600

Shengjun Wang

AU 1617

February 23, 2001

Page 5